# Uniform and unitary rational approximations of the matrix exponential

27th of June 2023

29th Biennial Numerical Analysis Conference Strathclyde

## Based on joint work with



## Tobias Jawecki (TU Wien)

[JS 23] Unitarity of some barycentric rational approximants, Jawecki & S, arXiv:2205.10606 [math.NA]

#### Based on joint work with



Tobias Jawecki (TU Wien)

[JS 23] Unitarity of some barycentric rational approximants, Jawecki & S, arXiv:2205.10606 [math.NA]

Rational approximations to exp(ix) given by

#### Based on joint work with



Tobias Jawecki (TU Wien)

[JS 23] Unitarity of some barycentric rational approximants, Jawecki & S, arXiv:2205.10606 [math.NA]

Rational approximations to exp(ix) given by

• AAA [NST 18]

The AAA algorithm for rational approximation, Nakatsukasa, Sète & Trefethen, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., Vol. 40, Iss. 3 (2018).

#### Based on joint work with



Tobias Jawecki (TU Wien)

[JS 23] Unitarity of some barycentric rational approximants, Jawecki & S, arXiv:2205.10606 [math.NA]

Rational approximations to exp(ix) given by

• AAA [NST 18]

The AAA algorithm for rational approximation, Nakatsukasa, Sète & Trefethen, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., Vol. 40, Iss. 3 (2018).

• AAA-Lawson [NT 20]

An algorithm for real and complex rational minimax approximation, Nakatsukasa & Trefethen, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., Vol. 4, Iss. 5 (2020).

#### Based on joint work with



Tobias Jawecki (TU Wien)

[JS 23] Unitarity of some barycentric rational approximants, Jawecki & S, arXiv:2205.10606 [math.NA]

#### Rational approximations to exp(ix) given by

• AAA [NST 18]

The AAA algorithm for rational approximation, Nakatsukasa, Sète & Trefethen, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., Vol. 40, Iss. 3 (2018).

• AAA-Lawson [NT 20]

An algorithm for real and complex rational minimax approximation, Nakatsukasa & Trefethen, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., Vol. 4, Iss. 5 (2020).

Lead to geometric numerical integrators.

$$\mathbf{e}^{tA} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tA)^k}{k!}$$

$$\mathbf{e}^{tA} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tA)^k}{k!} = V \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{e}^{t\lambda_k}) V^{-1}, \quad \text{for} \quad A = V \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_k) V^{-1}$$

The matrix exponential is

$$\mathbf{e}^{tA} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tA)^k}{k!} = V \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{e}^{t\lambda_k}) V^{-1}, \quad \text{for} \quad A = V \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_k) V^{-1}$$

Geometric Numerical Integrators for ODEs/PDEs:

$$\partial_t u = A u$$
  $u_1 = e^{hA} u_0$  linear

The matrix exponential is

$$e^{tA} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tA)^k}{k!} = V \operatorname{diag}(e^{t\lambda_k})V^{-1}, \quad \text{for} \quad A = V \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_k)V^{-1}$$

Geometric Numerical Integrators for ODEs/PDEs:

$$\partial_t u = A u$$
  $u_1 = e^{hA} u_0$  linear

$$\partial_t u = A u + N(u)$$
  $u_1 = e^{hA} u_0 + \frac{e^{hA} - 1}{A} N(u_0)$  non-linear

The matrix exponential is

$$e^{tA} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tA)^k}{k!} = V \operatorname{diag}(e^{t\lambda_k})V^{-1}, \quad \text{for} \quad A = V \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_k)V^{-1}$$

Geometric Numerical Integrators for ODEs/PDEs:

$$\partial_t u = A u$$
  $u_1 = e^{hA} u_0$  linear

$$\partial_t u = A u + N(u)$$
  $u_1 = e^{hA} u_0 + \frac{e^{hA} - 1}{A} N(u_0)$  non-linear

 $\partial_t u = A(t) u$   $u_1 = e^{\int_0^h A(s)ds + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^h \int_0^s [A(r), A(s)] dr ds} u_0$  non-autonomous

The matrix exponential is

$$e^{tA} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tA)^k}{k!} = V \operatorname{diag}(e^{t\lambda_k})V^{-1}, \quad \text{for} \quad A = V \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_k)V^{-1}$$

Geometric Numerical Integrators for ODEs/PDEs:

$$\partial_t u = A u$$
  $u_1 = e^{hA} u_0$  linear

$$\partial_t u = A u + N(u)$$
  $u_1 = e^{hA} u_0 + \frac{e^{hA} - 1}{A} N(u_0)$  non-linear

 $\partial_t u = A(t) u$   $u_1 = e^{\int_0^h A(s)ds + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^h \int_0^s [A(r), A(s)] dr ds} u_0$  non-autonomous

Scalar approximations

 $e^z \approx 1 + z$   $u_1 = (I + hA)u_0$  Forward Euler (F.E.)

The matrix exponential is

$$e^{tA} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tA)^k}{k!} = V \operatorname{diag}(e^{t\lambda_k})V^{-1}, \quad \text{for} \quad A = V \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_k)V^{-1}$$

Geometric Numerical Integrators for ODEs/PDEs:

$$\partial_t u = A u$$
  $u_1 = e^{hA} u_0$  linear

$$\partial_t u = A u + N(u)$$
  $u_1 = e^{hA} u_0 + \frac{e^{hA} - 1}{A} N(u_0)$  non-linear

 $\partial_t u = A(t) u$   $u_1 = e^{\int_0^h A(s)ds + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^h \int_0^s [A(r), A(s)] dr ds} u_0$  non-autonomous

Scalar approximations

$$e^z \approx 1 + z$$
  $u_1 = (I + hA)u_0$  Forward Euler (F.E.)  
 $e^z \approx \frac{1}{1-z}$   $(I - hA)u_1 = u_0$  Backward Euler (B.E.)

The matrix exponential is

$$e^{tA} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tA)^k}{k!} = V \operatorname{diag}(e^{t\lambda_k})V^{-1}, \quad \text{for} \quad A = V \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_k)V^{-1}$$

Geometric Numerical Integrators for ODEs/PDEs:

$$\partial_t u = A u$$
  $u_1 = e^{hA} u_0$  linear

$$\partial_t u = A u + N(u)$$
  $u_1 = e^{hA} u_0 + \frac{e^{hA} - 1}{A} N(u_0)$  non-linear

 $\partial_t u = A(t) u$   $u_1 = e^{\int_0^h A(s)ds + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^h \int_0^s [A(r), A(s)] dr ds} u_0$  non-autonomous

Scalar approximations

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{e}^{z} &\approx 1+z & u_{1} &= (I+hA)u_{0} & \text{Forward Euler (F.E.)} \\ \mathrm{e}^{z} &\approx \frac{1}{1-z} & (I-hA)u_{1} &= u_{0} & \text{Backward Euler (B.E.)} \\ \mathrm{e}^{z} &\approx \frac{1+z/2}{1-z/2} & (I-(h/2)A)u_{1} &= (I+(h/2)A)u_{0} & \text{Trapezoidal Rule (T.R.)} \end{split}$$

Schrödinger equation

$$\partial_t u = -\mathrm{i}\mathrm{H} u, \qquad u(0) = u_0, \qquad \mathrm{H}^* = \mathrm{H},$$

Schrödinger equation

$$\partial_t u = -iHu, \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad H^* = H,$$
  
 $u(t) = e^{-itH}u_0$ 

Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \partial_t u = -\mathrm{i}\mathrm{H}u, & u(0) = u_0, & \mathrm{H}^* = \mathrm{H}, \\ & u(t) &= & \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t\mathrm{H}}u_0 \\ E(t) := & \langle u(t), \mathrm{H}u(t) \rangle &= & \langle u(0), \mathrm{H}u(0) \rangle = & E(0) \end{array} \quad \text{energy conservation} \end{array}$$

Schrödinger equation

$$\partial_t u = -iHu, \qquad u(0) = u_0, \qquad H^* = H,$$

$$u(t) = e^{-itH}u_0$$

$$E(t) := \langle u(t), Hu(t) \rangle = \langle u(0), Hu(0) \rangle = E(0) \qquad \text{energy conservation}$$

$$\langle u(t), v(t) \rangle = \langle u(0), v(0) \rangle$$

unitary evolution

## Schrödinger equation

$$\partial_t u = -iHu, \qquad u(0) = u_0, \qquad H^* = H,$$
$$u(t) = e^{-itH}u_0$$
$$E(t) := \langle u(t), Hu(t) \rangle = \langle u(0), Hu(0) \rangle = E(0)$$
$$\langle u(t), v(t) \rangle = \langle u(0), v(0) \rangle \implies ||u(t)||_2 = ||u(0)||_2 = 1$$

energy conservation

unitary evolution

mass or probability conservation

Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \partial_t u = -\mathrm{i}\mathrm{H}u, & u(0) = u_0, & \mathrm{H}^* = \mathrm{H}, \\ u(t) & = & \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t\mathrm{H}}u_0 \\ E(t) := \langle u(t), \mathrm{H}u(t) \rangle & = & \langle u(0), \mathrm{H}u(0) \rangle = E(0) \\ \underline{\langle u(t), v(t) \rangle} = \langle u(0), v(0) \rangle & \Longrightarrow & \underbrace{\|u(t)\|_2 = \|u(0)\|_2 = 1}_{\mathrm{mass or probability conservation}} \end{array}$$

exp maps Lie algebra  $iH \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$  to Lie group  $e^{-itH} \in U(n)$ .

energy conservation

4

Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \partial_t u = -iHu, & u(0) = u_0, & H^* = H, \\ u(t) & = & e^{-itH}u_0 \\ \hline E(t) := \langle u(t), Hu(t) \rangle & = & \langle u(0), Hu(0) \rangle = E(0) \\ \hline \langle \underline{u(t), v(t)} \rangle = \langle u(0), v(0) \rangle & \Longrightarrow & \underbrace{\|u(t)\|_2 = \|u(0)\|_2 = 1}_{\text{mass or probability conservation}} \end{array}$$

energy conservation

exp maps Lie algebra  $iH \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$  to Lie group  $e^{-itH} \in U(n)$ .

These properties are also desired from numerical approximations.

Schrödinger equation

$$\partial_t u = -iHu, \qquad u(0) = u_0, \qquad H^* = H,$$

$$u(t) = e^{-itH}u_0$$

$$E(t) := \langle u(t), Hu(t) \rangle = \langle u(0), Hu(0) \rangle = E(0)$$

$$\underbrace{\langle u(t), v(t) \rangle}_{\text{unitary evolution}} \implies \underbrace{\|u(t)\|_2 = \|u(0)\|_2 = 1}_{\text{mass or probability conservation}}$$

energy conservation

exp maps Lie algebra  $iH \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$  to Lie group  $e^{-itH} \in U(n)$ .

These properties are also desired from numerical approximations.

 $e^z \approx 1 + z$   $u_1 = (I - ihH)u_0$  F.E.  $||u_n||_2 \rightarrow \infty$ 

#### Schrödinger equation

$$\partial_t u = -iHu, \qquad u(0) = u_0, \qquad H^* = H,$$

$$u(t) = e^{-itH}u_0$$

$$E(t) := \langle u(t), Hu(t) \rangle = \langle u(0), Hu(0) \rangle = E(0)$$

$$\underbrace{\langle u(t), v(t) \rangle}_{\text{unitary evolution}} \implies \underbrace{\|u(t)\|_2 = \|u(0)\|_2 = 1}_{\text{mass or probability conservation}}$$

energy conservation

exp maps Lie algebra  $iH \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$  to Lie group  $e^{-itH} \in U(n)$ .

These properties are also desired from numerical approximations.

 $e^{z} \approx 1 + z$   $u_{1} = (I - ihH)u_{0}$  F.E.  $||u_{n}||_{2} \to \infty$  $e^{z} \approx \frac{1}{1-z}$   $(I + ihH)u_{1} = u_{0}$  B.E.  $||u_{n}||_{2} \to 0$ 

#### Schrödinger equation

$$\partial_t u = -iHu, \qquad u(0) = u_0, \qquad H^* = H,$$

$$u(t) = e^{-itH}u_0$$

$$E(t) := \langle u(t), Hu(t) \rangle = \langle u(0), Hu(0) \rangle = E(0)$$

$$\underbrace{\langle u(t), v(t) \rangle}_{\text{unitary evolution}} \implies \underbrace{\|u(t)\|_2 = \|u(0)\|_2 = 1}_{\text{mass or probability conservation}}$$

energy conservation

exp maps Lie algebra  $iH \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$  to Lie group  $e^{-itH} \in U(n)$ .

These properties are also desired from numerical approximations.

$e^z \approx 1 + z$	$u_1$	=	$(I - ihH)u_0$	F.E.	$\ u_n\ _2 \to \infty$
$\mathrm{e}^z\approx\frac{1}{1-z}$	$(I + \mathrm{i}h\mathrm{H})u_1$	=	u <sub>0</sub>	B.E.	$\ u_n\ _2 \rightarrow 0$
$e^z \approx \frac{1+z/2}{1-z/2}$	$(I + i(h/2)H) u_1$	=	$(I - i(h/2)H) u_0$	T.R.	$  u_n  _2 =   u_0  _2$

#### Schrödinger equation

$$\partial_t u = -iHu, \qquad u(0) = u_0, \qquad H^* = H,$$

$$u(t) = e^{-itH}u_0$$

$$E(t) := \langle u(t), Hu(t) \rangle = \langle u(0), Hu(0) \rangle = E(0)$$

$$\underbrace{\langle u(t), v(t) \rangle}_{\text{unitary evolution}} \implies \underbrace{\|u(t)\|_2 = \|u(0)\|_2 = 1}_{\text{mass or probability conservation}}$$

energy conservation

exp maps Lie algebra  $iH \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$  to Lie group  $e^{-itH} \in U(n)$ .

These properties are also desired from numerical approximations.

#### Schrödinger equation

$$\partial_t u = -iHu, \qquad u(0) = u_0, \qquad H^* = H,$$

$$u(t) = e^{-itH}u_0$$

$$E(t) := \langle u(t), Hu(t) \rangle = \langle u(0), Hu(0) \rangle = E(0)$$

$$\underbrace{\langle u(t), v(t) \rangle}_{\text{unitary evolution}} \implies \underbrace{\|u(t)\|_2 = \|u(0)\|_2 = 1}_{\text{mass or probability conservation}}$$

energy conservation

exp maps Lie algebra  $iH \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$  to Lie group  $e^{-itH} \in U(n)$ .

These properties are also desired from numerical approximations.

Wave, KdV, NLS, Dirac, Liouville-von Neumann, Linblad, Pauli, MCTDHF, CCSD, TDDFT, ...

C. Moler & C. V. Loan, Nineteen Dubious Ways to Compute the Exponential of a Matrix, Twenty-Five Years Later, SIAM Review 03.

C. Moler & C. V. Loan, Nineteen Dubious Ways to Compute the Exponential of a Matrix, Twenty-Five Years Later, SIAM Review 03.

	Asymptotic	Approximate $e^z$ on spectrum	Iterative
	z  ightarrow 0	$\pmb{z} \in [\pmb{a}, \pmb{b}] \subseteq \sigma(\pmb{A})$	Use A and $u_0$
	Taylor	Chebyshev	
Polynomial	$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\underline{z}^{k}}{k!}$	$J_0(i) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} i^k J_k(-i) T_k(z)$	Lanczos
Rational	$\frac{Padé}{\frac{1+\frac{1}{2}z+\frac{1}{12}z^2}{1-\frac{1}{2}z+\frac{1}{12}z^2}}$	?	Rational Krylov

Other techniques: Diagonalisation, Scaling and Squaring, Splitting

C. Moler & C. V. Loan, Nineteen Dubious Ways to Compute the Exponential of a Matrix, Twenty-Five Years Later, SIAM Review 03.

	Asymptotic	Approximate $e^z$ on spectrum	Iterative
	z  ightarrow 0	$\pmb{z} \in [\pmb{a}, \pmb{b}] \subseteq \sigma(\pmb{A})$	Use A and $u_0$
	Taylor	Chebyshev	
Polynomial	$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\underline{z}^{k}}{k!}$	$J_0(i) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} i^k J_k(-i) T_k(z)$	Lanczos
Rational	$\frac{Padé}{\frac{1+\frac{1}{2}z+\frac{1}{12}z^2}{1-\frac{1}{2}z+\frac{1}{12}z^2}}$	?	Rational Krylov

Other techniques: Diagonalisation, Scaling and Squaring, Splitting

Since  $\sigma(\mathbf{i}H) \subseteq \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$ ,

 $|f(\mathbf{i}x)| = 1$   $x \in \mathbb{R}$   $\implies$   $f(\mathbf{i}H)$  is unitary

C. Moler & C. V. Loan, Nineteen Dubious Ways to Compute the Exponential of a Matrix, Twenty-Five Years Later. SIAM Review 03.

	Asymptotic	Approximate $e^z$ on spectrum	Iterative
	z  ightarrow 0	$\pmb{z} \in [\pmb{a}, \pmb{b}] \subseteq \sigma(\pmb{A})$	Use A and $u_0$
	Taylor	Chebyshev	
Polynomial	$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\underline{z}^{k}}{k!}$	$J_0(i) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} i^k J_k(-i) T_k(z)$	Lanczos
Rational	$\frac{Padé}{\frac{1+\frac{1}{2}z+\frac{1}{12}z^2}{1-\frac{1}{2}z+\frac{1}{12}z^2}}$	?	Rational Krylov

Other techniques: Diagonalisation, Scaling and Squaring, Splitting

Since  $\sigma(\mathbf{i}\mathbf{H}) \subseteq \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$ ,

 $|f(\mathbf{i}x)| = 1$   $x \in \mathbb{R}$   $\implies$   $f(\mathbf{i}H)$  is unitary

No non-constant polynomial method can be unitary. Proof: coercivity.

C. Moler & C. V. Loan, Nineteen Dubious Ways to Compute the Exponential of a Matrix, Twenty-Five Years Later. SIAM Review 03.

	Asymptotic	Approximate $e^z$ on spectrum	Iterative
	z  ightarrow 0	$\pmb{z} \in [\pmb{a}, \pmb{b}] \subseteq \sigma(\pmb{A})$	Use A and $u_0$
	Taylor	Chebyshev	
Polynomial	$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{z^{k}}{k!}$	$J_0(i) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} i^k J_k(-i) T_k(z)$	Lanczos
	D- 14		
Rational	Pade $\frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}z + \frac{1}{12}z^2}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z + \frac{1}{12}z^2}$	AAA & AAA–Lawson	Rational Krylov

Other techniques: Diagonalisation, Scaling and Squaring, Splitting

Since  $\sigma(\mathbf{i}\mathbf{H}) \subseteq \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$ ,

 $|f(\mathbf{i}x)| = 1$   $x \in \mathbb{R}$   $\implies$   $f(\mathbf{i}H)$  is unitary

No non-constant polynomial method can be unitary. Proof: coercivity.

(13, 13) Padé and AAA–Lawson approximants.



Padé methods are rational methods,

$$r_{m,n}(z) = rac{p_m(z)}{q_n(z)} pprox \mathrm{e}^z, \qquad p_m \in \mathcal{P}_m, q_n \in \mathcal{P}_n,$$

which approximate the Taylor expansion of  $\ensuremath{\mathrm{e}}^z$  to the highest degree possible.

(13, 13) Padé and AAA-Lawson approximants.



Padé methods are rational methods,

 $r_{m,n}(z) = \frac{p_m(z)}{q_n(z)} \approx e^z$ ,  $p_m \in \mathcal{P}_m, q_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , which approximate the Taylor expansion of  $e^z$  to the highest degree possible. These are asymptotic in accuracy, i.e. under  $z \to 0$ , and need to focus most effort near the origin.

(13, 13) Padé and AAA-Lawson approximants.



Padé methods are rational methods,

 $r_{m,n}(z) = \frac{p_m(z)}{q_n(z)} \approx e^z$ ,  $p_m \in \mathcal{P}_m, q_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , which approximate the Taylor expansion of  $e^z$  to the highest degree possible. These are asymptotic in accuracy, i.e. under  $z \to 0$ , and need to focus most effort near the origin.

AAA and AAA–Lawson methods are adaptive algorithms that can produce rational approximants with uniform accuracy over a specified interval or test nodes  $x_k$ .

(13, 13) Padé and AAA-Lawson approximants.



Padé methods are rational methods,

 $r_{m,n}(z) = \frac{p_m(z)}{q_n(z)} \approx e^z$ ,  $p_m \in \mathcal{P}_m, q_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , which approximate the Taylor expansion of  $e^z$  to the highest degree possible. These are asymptotic in accuracy, i.e. under  $z \to 0$ , and need to focus most effort near the origin.

AAA and AAA–Lawson methods are adaptive algorithms that can produce rational approximants with uniform accuracy over a specified interval or test nodes  $x_k$ .



(13, 13) Padé and AAA-Lawson approximants.



$$r(x) = \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} y_j} w_j}{x - y_j}}_{n(x)} / \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{w_j}{x - y_j}}_{d(x)},$$

Padé methods are rational methods,

 $r_{m,n}(z) = \frac{p_m(z)}{q_n(z)} \approx e^z$ ,  $p_m \in \mathcal{P}_m, q_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , which approximate the Taylor expansion of  $e^z$  to the highest degree possible. These are asymptotic in accuracy, i.e. under  $z \to 0$ , and need to focus most effort near the origin.

AAA and AAA–Lawson methods are adaptive algorithms that can produce rational approximants with uniform accuracy over a specified interval or test nodes  $x_k$ .

find **w** s.t. 
$$r(x_k) = \frac{n(x_k)}{d(x_k)} \approx e^{ix_k}$$
#### Padé vs AAA

(13, 13) Padé and AAA-Lawson approximants.



Padé methods are rational methods,

 $r_{m,n}(z) = \frac{p_m(z)}{q_n(z)} \approx e^z$ ,  $p_m \in \mathcal{P}_m, q_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , which approximate the Taylor expansion of  $e^z$  to the highest degree possible. These are asymptotic in accuracy, i.e. under  $z \to 0$ , and need to focus most effort near the origin.

AAA and AAA–Lawson methods are adaptive algorithms that can produce rational approximants with uniform accuracy over a specified interval or test nodes  $x_k$ .

$$r(x) = \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} y_j} \mathbf{w}_j}{x - y_j}}_{n(x)} / \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\mathbf{w}_j}{x - y_j}}_{d(x)},$$

find **w** s.t. 
$$r(x_k) = \frac{n(x_k)}{d(x_k)} \approx e^{ix_k}$$

linearize & minimize  $\operatorname{argmin}_{w}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} | n(x_{k}) - e^{ix_{k}} d(x_{k})|^{2}\right)^{1/2}$ .

#### Padé vs AAA

(13, 13) Padé and AAA-Lawson approximants.



Padé methods are rational methods,

 $r_{m,n}(z) = \frac{p_m(z)}{q_n(z)} \approx e^z$ ,  $p_m \in \mathcal{P}_m, q_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , which approximate the Taylor expansion of  $e^z$  to the highest degree possible. These are asymptotic in accuracy, i.e. under  $z \to 0$ , and need to focus most effort near the origin.

AAA and AAA–Lawson methods are adaptive algorithms that can produce rational approximants with uniform accuracy over a specified interval or test nodes  $x_k$ .

$$r(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{e^{iy_j} w_j}{x - y_j} \Big/ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{w_j}{x - y_j}, \quad \text{find } w \text{ s.t. } r(x_k) = \frac{n(x_k)}{d(x_k)} \approx e^{ix_k}$$
  
linearize & minimize  $\operatorname{argmin}_w \Big( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_k |n(x_k) - e^{ix_k} d(x_k)|^2 \Big)^{1/2}.$ 

Linear (weighted) least squares  $\Rightarrow$  SVD

k=1

linear (weighted) least squares

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{w} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} | n(x_{k}) - e^{ix_{k}} d(x_{k}) |^{2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

linear (weighted) least squares  $\operatorname{argmin}_{w} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} | n(x_{k}) - e^{ix_{k}} d(x_{k}) |^{2} \right)^{1/2}$ .

Loewner matrix 
$$L_{kj} = \mu_k^{1/2} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}x_k} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}y_j}}{x_k - y_j}$$
,

linear (weighted) least squares  $\operatorname{argmin}_{w} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} |n(x_{k}) - e^{ix_{k}} d(x_{k})|^{2} \right)^{1/2}.$ Loewner matrix  $L_{kj} = \mu_{k}^{1/2} \frac{e^{ix_{k}} - e^{iy_{j}}}{x_{k} - y_{j}},$ 

 $S_{\mu} = \operatorname{diag}(\mu_k^{1/2}),$ 

linear (weighted) least squares 
$$\operatorname{argmin}_{w} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} | n(x_{k}) - e^{ix_{k}} d(x_{k}) |^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$
.

Loewner matrix  $L_{kj} = \mu_k^{1/2} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} x_k} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} y_j}}{x_k - y_j}$ ,

$$S_{\mu} = \text{diag}(\mu_k^{1/2}), \quad C_{kj} = (x_k - y_j)^{-1},$$

linear (weighted) least squares 
$$\operatorname{argmin}_{w} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} |n(x_{k}) - e^{ix_{k}} d(x_{k})|^{2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Loewner matrix  $L_{kj} = \mu_k^{1/2} \, rac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} x_k} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} y_j}}{x_k - y_j}$ ,

 $S_{\mu} = \operatorname{diag}(\mu_k^{1/2}), \quad C_{kj} = (x_k - y_j)^{-1}, \quad M = S_{\mu}C$ 

linear (weighted) least squares 
$$\operatorname{argmin}_{w} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} |n(x_{k}) - e^{ix_{k}} d(x_{k})|^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$
.  
Loewner matrix  $L_{kj} = \mu_{k}^{1/2} \frac{e^{ix_{k}} - e^{iy_{j}}}{x_{k} - y_{j}}$ ,  
 $S_{\mu} = \operatorname{diag}(\mu_{k}^{1/2}), \quad C_{kj} = (x_{k} - y_{j})^{-1}, \quad M = S_{\mu}C$   
 $S_{F} = \operatorname{diag}(e^{ix_{k}}),$ 

linear (weighted) least squares 
$$\operatorname{argmin}_{w} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} |n(x_{k}) - e^{ix_{k}} d(x_{k})|^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$
.

Loewner matrix  $L_{kj} = \mu_k^{1/2} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} x_k} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} y_j}}{x_k - y_j}$ ,

linear (weighted) least squares 
$$\operatorname{argmin}_{w} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} |n(x_{k}) - e^{ix_{k}} d(x_{k})|^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$
.  
Loewner matrix  $L_{kj} = \mu_{k}^{1/2} \frac{e^{ix_{k}} - e^{iy_{j}}}{x_{k} - y_{i}}$ ,

 $L=S_FM-MS_f.$ 

linear (weighted) least squares 
$$\operatorname{argmin}_{w} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} |n(x_{k}) - e^{ix_{k}} d(x_{k})|^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$
.

Loewner matrix  $L_{kj} = \mu_k^{1/2} \frac{e^{ix_k} - e^{iy_j}}{x_k - y_j}$ ,  $S_{\mu} = \text{diag}(\mu_k^{1/2}), \quad C_{kj} = (x_k - y_j)^{-1}, \quad M = S_{\mu}C$  $S_F = \text{diag}(e^{ix_k}), \quad S_f = \text{diag}(e^{iy_j})$ 

 $L=S_FM-MS_f.$ 

$$(S_F Cw)_k = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} x_k} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{w_j}{x_k - y_j} = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} x_k} d(x_k)$$

linear (weighted) least squares 
$$\operatorname{argmin}_{w} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} |n(x_{k}) - e^{ix_{k}} d(x_{k})|^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$
.

Loewner matrix  $L_{kj} = \mu_k^{1/2} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} x_k} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} y_j}}{x_k - y_j}$ ,

$$L = S_F M - M S_f.$$

$$(S_F C w)_k = e^{\mathrm{i} x_k} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{w_j}{x_k - y_j} = e^{\mathrm{i} x_k} d(x_k), \quad \text{and} \quad (C S_f w)_k = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{e^{\mathrm{i} y_j} w_j}{x_k - y_j} = n(x_k).$$

linear (weighted) least squares 
$$\operatorname{argmin}_{w} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} | n(x_{k}) - e^{ix_{k}} d(x_{k}) |^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$
.

Loewner matrix  $L_{kj} = \mu_k^{1/2} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} x_k} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} y_j}}{x_k - y_j}$ ,

$$L = S_F M - M S_f$$

$$(S_F C w)_k = e^{ix_k} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{w_j}{x_k - y_j} = e^{ix_k} d(x_k), \text{ and } (CS_f w)_k = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{e^{iy_j} w_j}{x_k - y_j} = n(x_k).$$
$$\|Lw\|_2 = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k |n(x_k) - e^{ix_k} d(x_k)|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

linear (weighted) least squares 
$$\operatorname{argmin}_{w} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} | n(x_{k}) - e^{ix_{k}} d(x_{k}) |^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$
.

Loewner matrix  $L_{kj} = \mu_k^{1/2} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} x_k} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} y_j}}{x_k - y_j}$ ,

$$L = S_F M - M S_f$$

$$(S_F C w)_k = e^{ix_k} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{w_j}{x_k - y_j} = e^{ix_k} d(x_k), \text{ and } (CS_f w)_k = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{e^{iy_j} w_j}{x_k - y_j} = n(x_k).$$
$$\|Lw\|_2 = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k |n(x_k) - e^{ix_k} d(x_k)|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

LV = US,

linear (weighted) least squares 
$$\operatorname{argmin}_{w} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} | n(x_{k}) - e^{ix_{k}} d(x_{k}) |^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$
.

Loewner matrix  $L_{kj} = \mu_k^{1/2} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} x_k} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} y_j}}{x_k - y_j}$ ,

$$L = S_F M - M S_f$$

$$(S_F C w)_k = e^{ix_k} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{w_j}{x_k - y_j} = e^{ix_k} d(x_k), \text{ and } (CS_f w)_k = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{e^{iy_j} w_j}{x_k - y_j} = n(x_k).$$
$$\|Lw\|_2 = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k |n(x_k) - e^{ix_k} d(x_k)|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$
$$LV = US, \qquad w = Ve_m, \qquad \|Lw\|_2 = \sigma_m$$

linear (weighted) least squares 
$$\operatorname{argmin}_{w} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} | n(x_{k}) - e^{ix_{k}} d(x_{k}) |^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$
.

Loewner matrix  $L_{kj} = \mu_k^{1/2} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} x_k} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} y_j}}{x_k - y_j}$ ,

$$L = S_F M - M S_f$$

$$(S_F C w)_k = e^{ix_k} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{w_j}{x_k - y_j} = e^{ix_k} d(x_k), \text{ and } (CS_f w)_k = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{e^{iy_j} w_j}{x_k - y_j} = n(x_k).$$
$$\|Lw\|_2 = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k |n(x_k) - e^{ix_k} d(x_k)|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$
$$LV = US, \quad w = Ve_m, \quad \|Lw\|_2 = \sigma_m \leq \|Lu\|_2 \text{ for any } \|u\|_2 = 1.$$

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathsf{diag}\Big((1-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} y_j})/|1-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} y_j}|\Big) \quad \mathsf{and} \quad \mathcal{R} = \mathsf{diag}\Big((1-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} x_k})/|1-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} x_k}|\Big)$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-iy_j})/|1 - e^{-iy_j}|\Big) \quad \mathsf{and} \quad \mathcal{R} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-ix_k})/|1 - e^{-ix_k}|\Big) \\ &e^{iy_j}\mathcal{K}_{jj} = e^{iy_j}\frac{(1 - e^{-iy_j})}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = \frac{e^{iy_j} - 1}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = -\mathcal{K}_{jj}^*, \qquad e^{ix_k}\mathcal{R}_{kk} = -\mathcal{R}_{kk}^* \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-iy_j})/|1 - e^{-iy_j}|\Big) \quad \mathsf{and} \quad \mathcal{R} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-ix_k})/|1 - e^{-ix_k}|\Big) \\ &e^{iy_j}\mathcal{K}_{jj} = e^{iy_j}\frac{(1 - e^{-iy_j})}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = \frac{e^{iy_j} - 1}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = -\mathcal{K}_{jj}^*, \qquad e^{ix_k}\mathcal{R}_{kk} = -\mathcal{R}_{kk}^* \end{split}$$

 $S_f K = -K^*$ , and  $RS_F = -R^*$ .

We introduce a rotated version of the Loewner matrix,  $\widehat{L}:=-\mathrm{i} R L \mathcal{K}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}y_j})/|1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}y_j}|\Big) \quad \mathsf{and} \quad \mathcal{R} = \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}x_k})/|1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}x_k}|\Big) \\ &\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}y_j} \,\mathcal{K}_{jj} = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}y_j} \frac{(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}y_j})}{|1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}y_j}|} = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}y_j} - 1}{|1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}y_j}|} = -\mathcal{K}_{jj}^{*}, \qquad \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}x_k} \mathcal{R}_{kk} = -\mathcal{R}_{kk}^{*} \end{split}$$

 $S_f K = -K^*$ , and  $RS_F = -R^*$ .

We introduce a rotated version of the Loewner matrix,  $\hat{L}:=-iRLK$  $\hat{L}=-iRS_FMK+iRMS_fK$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-\mathrm{i}y_j})/|1 - e^{-\mathrm{i}y_j}|\Big) \quad \mathsf{and} \quad \mathcal{R} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-\mathrm{i}x_k})/|1 - e^{-\mathrm{i}x_k}|\Big) \\ &e^{\mathrm{i}y_j}\mathcal{K}_{jj} = e^{\mathrm{i}y_j}\frac{(1 - e^{-\mathrm{i}y_j})}{|1 - e^{-\mathrm{i}y_j}|} = \frac{e^{\mathrm{i}y_j} - 1}{|1 - e^{-\mathrm{i}y_j}|} = -\mathcal{K}_{jj}^{*}, \qquad e^{\mathrm{i}x_k}\mathcal{R}_{kk} = -\mathcal{R}_{kk}^{*} \end{split}$$

 $S_f K = -K^*$ , and  $RS_F = -R^*$ .

We introduce a rotated version of the Loewner matrix,  $\widehat{L}:=-\mathrm{i}RLK$ 

 $\widehat{L} = -iRS_FMK + iRMS_fK = i(R^*MK - RMK^*)$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-iy_j})/|1 - e^{-iy_j}|\Big) \quad \mathsf{and} \quad \mathcal{R} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-ix_k})/|1 - e^{-ix_k}|\Big) \\ &e^{iy_j}\mathcal{K}_{jj} = e^{iy_j}\frac{(1 - e^{-iy_j})}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = \frac{e^{iy_j} - 1}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = -\mathcal{K}_{jj}^*, \qquad e^{ix_k}\mathcal{R}_{kk} = -\mathcal{R}_{kk}^* \end{split}$$

 $S_f K = -K^*$ , and  $RS_F = -R^*$ .

We introduce a rotated version of the Loewner matrix,  $\widehat{L}:=-\mathrm{i} R L \mathcal{K}$ 

 $\widehat{L} = -\mathrm{i}RS_FMK + \mathrm{i}RMS_FK = \mathrm{i}(R^*MK - RMK^*) = 2\mathrm{Im}(RMK^*) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m},$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-iy_j})/|1 - e^{-iy_j}|\Big) \quad \mathsf{and} \quad \mathcal{R} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-ix_k})/|1 - e^{-ix_k}|\Big) \\ &e^{iy_j}\mathcal{K}_{jj} = e^{iy_j}\frac{(1 - e^{-iy_j})}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = \frac{e^{iy_j} - 1}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = -\mathcal{K}_{jj}^*, \qquad e^{ix_k}\mathcal{R}_{kk} = -\mathcal{R}_{kk}^* \end{split}$$

 $S_f K = -K^*$ , and  $RS_F = -R^*$ .

We introduce a rotated version of the Loewner matrix,  $\widehat{L}:=-\mathrm{i} R L \mathcal{K}$ 

$$\begin{split} \widehat{L} &= -iRS_FMK + iRMS_fK = i(R^*MK - RMK^*) = 2Im(RMK^*) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, \\ \widehat{L}\widehat{V} &= \widehat{U}S \quad \text{(real SVD)} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-iy_j})/|1 - e^{-iy_j}|\Big) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-ix_k})/|1 - e^{-ix_k}|\Big) \\ &e^{iy_j}\mathcal{K}_{jj} = e^{iy_j}\frac{(1 - e^{-iy_j})}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = \frac{e^{iy_j} - 1}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = -\mathcal{K}_{jj}^*, \qquad e^{ix_k}\mathcal{R}_{kk} = -\mathcal{R}_{kk}^* \end{split}$$

 $S_f K = -K^*$ , and  $RS_F = -R^*$ .

We introduce a rotated version of the Loewner matrix,  $\widehat{L}:=-\mathrm{i} R L \mathcal{K}$ 

 $\widehat{L} = -\mathrm{i}RS_FMK + \mathrm{i}RMS_fK = \mathrm{i}(R^*MK - RMK^*) = 2\mathrm{Im}(RMK^*) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m},$ 

 $\widehat{L}\widehat{V} = \widehat{U}S$  (real SVD)  $\Rightarrow LV = US$ ,  $V = iK\widehat{V}$  and  $U = -R^*\widehat{U}$ .

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-iy_j})/|1 - e^{-iy_j}|\Big) \quad \mathsf{and} \quad \mathcal{R} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-ix_k})/|1 - e^{-ix_k}|\Big) \\ &e^{iy_j}\mathcal{K}_{jj} = e^{iy_j}\frac{(1 - e^{-iy_j})}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = \frac{e^{iy_j} - 1}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = -\mathcal{K}_{jj}^*, \qquad e^{ix_k}\mathcal{R}_{kk} = -\mathcal{R}_{kk}^* \end{split}$$

 $S_f K = -K^*$ , and  $RS_F = -R^*$ .

We introduce a rotated version of the Loewner matrix,  $\widehat{L}:=-\mathrm{i}RLK$ 

 $\widehat{L} = -\mathrm{i}RS_FMK + \mathrm{i}RMS_fK = \mathrm{i}(R^*MK - RMK^*) = 2\mathrm{Im}(RMK^*) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m},$ 

 $\widehat{L}\widehat{V} = \widehat{U}S$  (real SVD)  $\Rightarrow LV = US$ ,  $V = i\mathcal{K}\widehat{V}$  and  $U = -R^*\widehat{U}$ .

 $\widetilde{w} = Ve_m$  minimizes  $||Lu||_2$ .

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-iy_j})/|1 - e^{-iy_j}|\Big) \quad \mathsf{and} \quad \mathcal{R} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-ix_k})/|1 - e^{-ix_k}|\Big) \\ &e^{iy_j}\mathcal{K}_{jj} = e^{iy_j}\frac{(1 - e^{-iy_j})}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = \frac{e^{iy_j} - 1}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = -\mathcal{K}_{jj}^*, \qquad e^{ix_k}\mathcal{R}_{kk} = -\mathcal{R}_{kk}^* \end{split}$$

 $S_f K = -K^*$ , and  $RS_F = -R^*$ .

We introduce a rotated version of the Loewner matrix,  $\hat{L} := -iRLK$  $\hat{L} = -iRS_FMK + iRMS_fK = i(R^*MK - RMK^*) = 2Im(RMK^*) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ,

$$\widehat{L}\widehat{V} = \widehat{U}S$$
 (real SVD)  $\Rightarrow LV = US$ ,  $V = i\mathcal{K}\widehat{V}$  and  $U = -R^*\widehat{U}$ .

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{w}} = V e_m \text{ minimizes } \|Lu\|_2.$  Since  $\widetilde{\mathbf{w}} = \mathrm{i} \mathcal{K} \widehat{V} e_m$ ,  $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} v_j} \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_j = (S_f \widetilde{\mathbf{w}})_j = (\mathrm{i} S_f \mathcal{K} \widehat{V} e_m)_j = -(\mathrm{i} \mathcal{K}^* \widehat{V} e_m)_j = \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_j^*.$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-iy_j})/|1 - e^{-iy_j}|\Big) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-ix_k})/|1 - e^{-ix_k}|\Big) \\ &e^{iy_j}\mathcal{K}_{jj} = e^{iy_j}\frac{(1 - e^{-iy_j})}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = \frac{e^{iy_j} - 1}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = -\mathcal{K}_{jj}^*, \qquad e^{ix_k}\mathcal{R}_{kk} = -\mathcal{R}_{kk}^* \end{split}$$

 $S_f K = -K^*$ , and  $RS_F = -R^*$ .

We introduce a rotated version of the Loewner matrix,  $\hat{L} := -iRLK$  $\hat{L} = -iRS_FMK + iRMS_fK = i(R^*MK - RMK^*) = 2Im(RMK^*) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ,

$$\widehat{L}\widehat{V} = \widehat{U}S$$
 (real SVD)  $\Rightarrow LV = US$ ,  $V = i\mathcal{K}\widehat{V}$  and  $U = -R^*\widehat{U}$ .

 $\widetilde{w} = Ve_m$  minimizes  $||Lu||_2$ . Since  $\widetilde{w} = iK\widehat{V}e_m$ ,

$$\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} y_j} \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_j = (S_f \widetilde{\mathbf{w}})_j = (\mathrm{i} S_f \, \mathcal{K} \, \widehat{\mathcal{V}} \, \mathbf{e}_m)_j = -(\mathrm{i} \, \mathcal{K}^* \, \widehat{\mathcal{V}} \, \mathbf{e}_m)_j = \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_j^*.$$

$$\widetilde{n}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} y_j} \widetilde{w}_j}{x - y_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\widetilde{w}_j^*}{x - y_j} = \widetilde{d}(x)^*$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-iy_j})/|1 - e^{-iy_j}|\Big) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-ix_k})/|1 - e^{-ix_k}|\Big) \\ &e^{iy_j}\mathcal{K}_{jj} = e^{iy_j}\frac{(1 - e^{-iy_j})}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = \frac{e^{iy_j} - 1}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = -\mathcal{K}_{jj}^*, \qquad e^{ix_k}\mathcal{R}_{kk} = -\mathcal{R}_{kk}^* \end{split}$$

 $S_f K = -K^*$ , and  $RS_F = -R^*$ .

We introduce a rotated version of the Loewner matrix,  $\hat{L} := -iRLK$  $\hat{L} = -iRS_FMK + iRMS_fK = i(R^*MK - RMK^*) = 2Im(RMK^*) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ,

$$\widehat{L}\widehat{V} = \widehat{U}S$$
 (real SVD)  $\Rightarrow LV = US$ ,  $V = i\mathcal{K}\widehat{V}$  and  $U = -R^*\widehat{U}$ .

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{w}} = V e_m$  minimizes  $||Lu||_2$ . Since  $\widetilde{\mathbf{w}} = i K \widehat{V} e_m$ ,

$$\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} y_j} \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_j = (S_f \widetilde{\mathbf{w}})_j = (\mathrm{i} S_f \, \mathcal{K} \, \widehat{\mathcal{V}} \, \mathbf{e}_m)_j = -(\mathrm{i} \, \mathcal{K}^* \, \widehat{\mathcal{V}} \, \mathbf{e}_m)_j = \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_j^*.$$

$$\widetilde{n}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} y_j} \widetilde{w}_j}{x - y_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\widetilde{w}_j^*}{x - y_j} = \widetilde{d}(x)^* \quad \Rightarrow \quad \widetilde{r}(x) = \xi(x)^* \xi(x)^{-1}.$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-iy_j})/|1 - e^{-iy_j}|\Big) \quad \mathsf{and} \quad \mathcal{R} = \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-ix_k})/|1 - e^{-ix_k}|\Big) \\ &e^{iy_j}\mathcal{K}_{jj} = e^{iy_j}\frac{(1 - e^{-iy_j})}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = \frac{e^{iy_j} - 1}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = -\mathcal{K}_{jj}^*, \qquad e^{ix_k}\mathcal{R}_{kk} = -\mathcal{R}_{kk}^* \end{split}$$

 $S_f K = -K^*$ , and  $RS_F = -R^*$ .

We introduce a rotated version of the Loewner matrix,  $\hat{L} := -iRLK$  $\hat{L} = -iRS_FMK + iRMS_fK = i(R^*MK - RMK^*) = 2Im(RMK^*) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ,

$$\widehat{L}\widehat{V} = \widehat{U}S$$
 (real SVD)  $\Rightarrow LV = US$ ,  $V = i\mathcal{K}\widehat{V}$  and  $U = -R^*\widehat{U}$ .

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{w}} = V e_m$  minimizes  $||Lu||_2$ . Since  $\widetilde{\mathbf{w}} = i K \widehat{V} e_m$ ,

$$\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} y_j} \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_j = (S_f \widetilde{\mathbf{w}})_j = (\mathrm{i} S_f \, \mathcal{K} \, \widehat{\mathcal{V}} \, \mathbf{e}_m)_j = -(\mathrm{i} \, \mathcal{K}^* \, \widehat{\mathcal{V}} \, \mathbf{e}_m)_j = \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_j^*.$$

$$\widetilde{n}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} y_j} \widetilde{w}_j}{x - y_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\widetilde{w}_j^*}{x - y_j} = \widetilde{d}(x)^* \quad \Rightarrow \quad \widetilde{r}(x) = \xi(x)^* \xi(x)^{-1}.$$

Modified AAA:  $\tilde{r}$  is unitary, i.e.  $|\tilde{r}(x)| = 1$ .

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-iy_j})/|1 - e^{-iy_j}|\Big) \quad \mathsf{and} \quad \mathcal{R} &= \mathsf{diag}\Big((1 - e^{-ix_k})/|1 - e^{-ix_k}|\Big) \\ &e^{iy_j}\mathcal{K}_{jj} = e^{iy_j}\frac{(1 - e^{-iy_j})}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = \frac{e^{iy_j} - 1}{|1 - e^{-iy_j}|} = -\mathcal{K}_{jj}^*, \qquad e^{ix_k}\mathcal{R}_{kk} = -\mathcal{R}_{kk}^* \end{split}$$

 $S_f K = -K^*$ , and  $RS_F = -R^*$ .

We introduce a rotated version of the Loewner matrix,  $\hat{L} := -iRLK$  $\hat{L} = -iRS_FMK + iRMS_fK = i(R^*MK - RMK^*) = 2Im(RMK^*) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ,

$$\widehat{L}\widehat{V} = \widehat{U}S$$
 (real SVD)  $\Rightarrow LV = US$ ,  $V = iK\widehat{V}$  and  $U = -R^*\widehat{U}$ .

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{w}} = V e_m$  minimizes  $||Lu||_2$ . Since  $\widetilde{\mathbf{w}} = i K \widehat{V} e_m$ ,

$$\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} y_j} \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_j = (S_f \widetilde{\mathbf{w}})_j = (\mathrm{i} S_f \, \mathcal{K} \, \widehat{\mathcal{V}} \, \mathbf{e}_m)_j = -(\mathrm{i} \, \mathcal{K}^* \, \widehat{\mathcal{V}} \, \mathbf{e}_m)_j = \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_j^*.$$

$$\widetilde{n}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} y_j} \widetilde{w}_j}{x - y_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\widetilde{w}_j^*}{x - y_j} = \widetilde{d}(x)^* \quad \Rightarrow \quad \widetilde{r}(x) = \xi(x)^* \xi(x)^{-1}.$$

Modified AAA:  $\tilde{r}$  is unitary, i.e.  $|\tilde{r}(x)| = 1$ . AAA:  $w = e^{i\phi} Ve_m, r = e^{2i\phi} d^*/d$ .

### Unitarity to machine precision

Error in approximation of e<sup>ix</sup> (Padé vs AAA–Lawson)



### Unitarity to machine precision

Error in unitarity of approximant rError in approximation of  $e^{ix}$ (original and modified AAA/AAA-Lawson) (Padé vs AAA-Lawson) 10\*\* 10<sup>-5</sup> 10.6  $r(ix) - e^{ix}$ ||r(ix)| - 1|10 -8 10<sup>-10</sup> 10-10 - 10<sup>-12</sup> 10-14 10-15 10-16 แลน...เ.อรัวแแลง -15 -10 -5 0 10 15 -20 -10 20 30 -30 10 х х



While theoretically AAA and AAA–Lawson should produce unitary approximants, this not true in computer arithmetic.



While theoretically AAA and AAA–Lawson should produce unitary approximants, this not true in computer arithmetic. The Cayley form

 $\widetilde{r}(x) = \xi(x)^* \xi(x)^{-1}$ 

of modified AAA and AAA-Lawson ensures unitarity to machine precision.



While theoretically AAA and AAA–Lawson should produce unitary approximants, this not true in computer arithmetic. The Cayley form

 $\widetilde{r}(x) = \xi(x)^* \xi(x)^{-1}$ 

of modified AAA and AAA-Lawson ensures unitarity to machine precision.

[JS 23] Unitarity of some barycentric rational approximants, Jawecki & S, arXiv:2205.10606